

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/581,353	05/31/2006	Uwe Hauf	2006-084	3626
27569 PAUL AND PA	7590 07/16/200 AUL	EXAMINER		
2000 MARKE		MACARTHUR, SYLVIA		
SUITE 2900 PHILADELPH	IA. PA 19103		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		1792	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/16/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

INFO@PAULANDPAUL.COM claire@paulandpaul.com fpanna@paulandpaul.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/581,353	HAUF ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Sylvia R. MacArthur	1792		

	Sylvia R. MacArthur	1792	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 25 June 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LLOWANCE.	
 M The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance, (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: 	the same day as filing a Notice of a replies: (1) an amendment, affidavited (with appeal fee) in compliance	Appeal. To avoid abar t, or other evidence, v with 37 CFR 41.31; o	hich places the (3) a Request
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07?	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ension and the corresponding amount of shortened statutory period for reply origing than three months after the mailing date	of the fee. The appropri- nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
NOTICE OF APPEAL			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, t (a) They raise new issues that would require further cor (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w);	E below);	
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or			ne issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21 See attached Notice of Non Co.	mpliant Amandment (DTOL 224)
 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 		inpliant Amendment (F 1 OL-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		imely filed amendmer	nt canceling the
7. Me For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or memded claims would be rejected is proving the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24.		be entered and an e	xplanation of
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appear and was not earlier presented. Se	ll and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (13. Other:	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)		
July 13, 2009	/Sylvia R MacArthur/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1792	

The examiner has reviewed applicant's comments and notes that applicant also discusses the treatment device. It is noted that the claims 1, 23, and 24 are Markush claims and that at the time of the Final rejection of 26/2009 the limitation of the insertion elements carry or securing at least a group of conveying members. The treatment device of claims 1, 23, and 24 are vague and have not been clearly defined. It is noted that according to claim 8, the treatment devices can be as recited, however in the independent claims, the treatment devices can be any part of the treatment until that affects the workpiece.

Upon reviewing the prior art of Henington et al (EP 0959153), Henington et al teaches a treatment unit for electrolytic treatment of the flat workpieces (PCBs). Henington et al provides two types of carrier elements (A) featuring insertion elements as conveying members (20a,20b) and (B) featuring insertion elements (treatment devices-fluid delivery apparatus 16,18). Both carrier elements feature recesses (22 for the conveying members 1(6/18), see the Figures. The insertions carry or secure at least one treatment device and an ensemble of conveving member.